IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE C.N.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR

WEDNESDAY, THE 20TH JUNE 2007 / 30TH JYAISHTA 1929

WP(C).No. 16420 of 2007(D)

PETITIONER:

RAVEENDRAN, KADAKKAL PUTHANPURAYIL,
PUTHUKUNDAM, KARTHIKAPPALLY.

BY ADV. SRI.GEORGE VARGHESE(PERUMPALLIKUTTIYIL)
SRI.A.R.DILEEP
SMT.KAVITHA GANGADHARAN

RESPONDENTS:

---

1. KERALA STATE ELECTRICITY BOARD,
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY,
VAIDYUTHI BHAVAN, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

2. ADDITIONAL DISTRICT MAGISTRATE,
ALAPPUZHA.

3. ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
ELECTRICAL SUB DIVISION, K.S.E.B
HARIPPAD.

4. MANGALANANDAN, GOKULAM, PUTHUKUNDAM,
KARTHIKAPPALLY.

R1&3 BY ADV. SRI.P.P.THAJUDEEN, SC

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 20/06/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

C.N.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR, J.

.....

W.P.(C) No.16420 of 2007

.....

Dated this the 20th day of June, 2007.

JUDGMENT

The W.P. is filed challenging ADM's order approving the drawal of electric line over petitioner's property. It is seen that out of 65 metres of overhead line and 17 metres of weatherproof cable, 30 metres overhead line passes over petitioner's property. The other person over whose property line is passing, does not appear to have any objection. It is seen from the sketch produced by the Board that the line is passing through the boundary of the petitioner's property which is admittedly 40 cents of land. On the face of it, there is no scope for interference. However, if petitioner wants to avoid cutting of trees or branches, it would be open to the petitioner to supply sufficiently good insulated cable to the KSEB for drawing the line with insulated cable over petitioner's property. In any case there will be direction to the third respondent to draw the line through the extreme boundary of petitioner's property reducing the inconvenience to the minimum.

W.P. is disposed of as above.

C.N.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR
Judge

pms